

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/762,901	01/22/2004	Joseph L. Pikulski	HRL/007-03 6163		
7590 12/29/2005			EXAMINER		
AAGAARD & BALZAN, LLP			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J		
Suite 105 674 County Square Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ventura, CA 93003			1725		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	,	Applicant(s)	
		10/762,901		PIKULSKI, JOSEPH L.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jonathan Johns	on	1725	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence add	ress
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CONTENT OF THIS CONTENT OF THI	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	. ply filed ne mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 This action is FINAL . 2b) 7 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-fin wance except for fo	rmal matters, pros		merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) ☑ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-20 and 22-44 is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/ on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	/are withdrawn from /or election requirem hiner. accepted or b) □ ob the drawing(s) be held rection is required if the	nent. jected to by the E lin abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the	attached Office A	Action or form PTC	D-152.
12)[] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum. 2. Certified copies of the priority docum. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been rece ents have been rece priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Applicatio ave been received 2(a)).	n No d in this National S	tage
2) ☐ Notic 3) ☑ Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	/08) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa Other:		152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The examiner notes a typographical error in the species restriction of group I. In particular, the generic claims should be claims 1-3 and 19, not claims 1-3 and 21.

Applicants election of Group Ia is acknowledged. Applicant argues that the search can be made without an undue burden. The examiner disagrees. It is the examiner's position that the product can be made by a process that does not involve combining the microparticles with the solder alloy, but rather the perform could be made by a process having the discrete particles precoated with solder. This would require a search in class 438/617, which is not required for the product claims.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-21 are drawn to a solder preform, classified in class 428, subclass various.
- II. Claims 22-44 are drawn to a method of forming a solder preform, classified in class 228, subclass 56.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the

Art Unit: 1725

instant case the product can be made by a process that does not involve combining the microparticles but rather agglomerates during reflow.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP I, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY ELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Ia. Claims 4-7 are drawn to the amount of microparticles.
- Ib. Claims 8-10 and 20 are drawn to the composition.
- Ic. Claim 11 is drawn to the shape.
- Id. Claims 12-15 and 21 are drawn to the coefficient of expansion.
- Ie. Claims 16-18 are drawn to the distribution.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 and 21 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,901

Art Unit: 1725

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP II, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY ELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- IIa. Claims 28 and 38 are drawn to the amount of microparticles.
- IIb. Claims 29 are drawn to the composition.
- IIc. Claims 27 and 30 are drawn to the shape.
- IId. Claims 31-33 and 42-44 are drawn to the coefficient of expansion.
- He. Claims 16-18 are drawn to the distribution.
- IIf. Claims 23-26, 35, 37 and 39-41 are drawn to the mixing.
- IIg. Claim 36 is drawn to the cooling.

Art Unit: 1725

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 22 and 34 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,901 Page 6

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2004/0134976 (Keyser). Keyser teaches a solder matrix (paragraph 25); b) microparticles secured with the solder matrix (paragraph 25); and c) the microparticles being constructed so as to be capable of arranging during a solder bonding process so as to provide a substantially uniform separation between opposing soldered surfaces (paragraph 9 and 23); wherein the microparticles are embedded within the solder matrix (paragraph 25); wherein the microparticles are shaped so as to inhibit stacking while self arranging during a solder bonding process (paragraph 25); an amount of microparticles with respect to an amount of the solder matrix so as to inhibit stacking of the microparticles during a solder bonding process (paragraph 9 and 25); wherein the microparticles are shaped so as to inhibit stacking while self arranging during a solder bonding process (paragraph 9 and 25); wherein the microparticles comprise microspheres (paragraph 25); wherein the microparticles comprise at least one of: (a) glass; (b) plastic; (c) elastomer; (d) metal; (e) semiconductor; (f) material capable of conducting electric current; or (g) dielectric material (paragraph 25); and b) a plurality of microspheres having a substantially similar diameter embedded within the solder matrix (paragraph 25 and figure 5, item 24).

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jorathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

jj